Anisotropic inflation in Brans-Dicke gravity

M. Tirandari* and Kh. Saaidi†

Department of Physics, University of Kurdistan,

Pasdaran St., P.O. Box 66177-15175, Sanandaj, Iran

arXiv:1701.06890v2 [gr-qc] 27 Jan 2017

Abstract

We study anisotropic inflation in the Brans-Dicke gravity in the presence of an abelian gauge field where the gauge field is non-minimally coupled to the inflaton. We show that the degree of anisotropy, under slow-roll approximations, is proportional to slow-roll parameter of the theory. As a demonstration, we consider the displaced quadratic potential for the inflation. We do the numerical calculation of the model to investigate the behavior of anisotropy by changing the parameter in the Brans-Dicke model. We find out that, the solution is an attractor in the phase space, and anisotropy grows before the end of inflation. Anisotropy depends on the Brans-Dicke parameter, ω and initial values of the scalar field.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

Keywords: Brans-Dicke gravity, Anisotropic inflation

*Electronic address: mehraneh.tirandari@gmail.com

†Electronic address: ksaaidi@uok.ac.ir

I. INTRODUCTION

Solving the problem of hot Big-Bang theory, and providing a natural mechanism for generating primordial density perturbations, make the elegant scenario of inflation as one of the best candidate of very early universe. The scenario has opend a new and unique window on physics at inaccessible energy scales[1]-[5]. During inflation, quantum vacuum fluctuations are redshifted far outside the Hubble radius, imprinting spectrum of classical density perturbations [6], [7].

Inflation can be well-defined by a quasi de sitter expansion in which the de Sitter expansion is not accurate. Because of this, statistic of cosmic microwave background (CMB) fluctuation is nearly Gaussian, also the power spectrum of fluctuations is almost scale invariant and almost statistically isotropic. Therefore, we have to look at fine structures of the CMB fluctuation, such as non-Gaussianity which is a result of violation of translational symmetry and doesn't appear in the simplest single field inflaton with a canonical action [8]-[11], spectral tilt as a result of violation of the temporal part of the de Sitter symmetry which is characterized by a slow-roll parameter, and statistical anisotropy which means spatial part of the de Sitter symmetry is not exact [12]. Although deviation from Gaussianity, scale invariance and statistical isotropy are quite small, they are observationally corroborated by Planck and WMAP [13]-[17].

Some anomalies in the CMB are of great importance [18], [19]. They have been noted since the early WMAP releases [20]. They have been studied extensively by independent groups, and remains unresolved to the present day [21], [22]. Among them, there is hemispherical power asymmetry (dipolar or quadrupole power asymmetry). Despite the fact that the statistical significance of hemispherical power asymmetry is hard to quantify (especially for the case quadrupole), researchers has been prompting to give variety of models, which have been put forward to explain this phenomenon [23]-[31].

The first attempt to put constraints on a preferred direction during inflation, and to make robust predictions for its observable consequence and its magnitude, was done by Ackerman *et al.* [32]. They considered the most general form of the power spectrum (in which rotational invariance has violated) as follows,

$$P(\mathbf{k}) = P(k)(1 + g_*(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{n})^2). \tag{1}$$

here $P(k)$ is the power spectrum for the primordial density perturbations $\delta(k)$ and depends only on the magnitude of the vector $\mathbf{k}$. Also, $\mathbf{n}$ is the privileged direction by which rotational invariance is broken. Moreover, g_* characterizes the deviation from the isotropy. The obtained bound using WMAP data is $g_* = 0.29 \pm 0.031$ [33]. A later analysis based on Planck data gave the best constraint i.e. $g_* = 0.002 \pm 0.016 (68\% CL)$ so far [34]. The Planck team got very similar constraints [17].

An inflationary theory can accommodate models that produce hemispherical power asymmetry. Anisotropic inflation is categorized as multifield inflation models. It contains a vector field led to a breaking of statistical isotropy. So the power spectrum for the primordial density perturbations becomes statistically anisotropic. In this model the inflaton field ϕ is coupled to the gauge kinetic term in the form $-f(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}/4$ in the Bianchi type I (BI) space. There exists an attractor behavior for the solution and the vector field survives during inflation. Also, it is free from the ghost [35]. This model has been studied extensively in the literature [36]-[42]. Breaking the rotational invariance during inflation, in which we call it anisotropy, is characterized by the background shear divided by the Hubble rate. It is shown in [43] that for the bound from planck, anisotropy is less than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$. However, they have shown that for reasonable values of parameters of the model, which they are consistent with observation, it is hard to reach the attractor solution during inflation. Nevertheless, one can assume that the duration of inflation exceeds 60 e-fold, the model settles down to its attractor solution before perturbations exit the horizon.

The prototype of an alternative to Einstein's general relativity was done by Brans and Dicke. The primary motivation for their theory comes from Mach's principle, that the phenomenon of inertia ought to arise from accelerations with respect to the general mass distribution of the universe [44]. Brans-Dicke (BD) theory is an important branch of the extended theories of gravity in the scalar-tensor theories. In BD theory, however, the gravitational coupling is variable. It is determined by all matter in the universe, accordingly, a scalar field is considered to couple to the Ricci curvature nonminimally. In spite of declining of interest in BD gravity in the 70s, a surge interest has raised owing to the new importance of scalar fields in unified theories, in particular string theory. Another reason for this interest is discovering plausible mechanisms that allow the parameter ω (a variable in the BD gravity) to get values of order unity in the early universe and diverge later [45]. Finally the using of scalar tensor gravity theories in inflationary scenarios of the universe, has renewed interest in BD gravity

[46]-[48].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the general action of anisotropic inflation in scalar tensor gravity is provided. Then, the most general equations of motion is obtained. In Section III, anisotropic Brans-Dicke inflation is considered, and anisotropy is obtained in the terms of the slow roll parameters. Also, a relation for the phase transition is obtained. In Section IV, numerical calculation is obtained for a specific potential. It shows that, there is an attractor solution, phase transition occurs and anisotropy grows in this model. Conclusion remarks are given in Section V.

II. ANISOTROPIC INFLATION IN SCALAR-TENSOR GRAVITY

In order to generate anisotropic effect during inflation we add an abelian massless gauge kinetic term. It is coupled to the inflaton field through a gauge coupling function $f^2(\phi)$, to the general action of scalar tensor models. So the action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(R,\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\phi)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - U(\phi) - \frac{1}{4}f^2(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\right], \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(R,\phi)$ is a general function of the Ricci scalar R and the scalar field ϕ. Moreover, $\omega(\phi)$ and $U(\phi)$ are functions of ϕ, and $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength tensor of the gauge field A_μ. Also, $f(\phi)$ is the coupling function between ϕ and A_μ. It will be specified later. We have also set the Planck scale $M_p = 1$ for convenience. We focus on the BI metric, given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2\alpha(t)-4\sigma(t)}dx^2 + e^{2\alpha(t)+2\sigma(t)}(dy^2+dz^2), \tag{3}$$

where $a \equiv e^{\alpha(t)}$ is the isotropic scale factor and $\sigma(t)$ is spatial shear which represents deviation from the isotropy. We choose the gauge $A_0 = 0$. Moreover, x-axis provides direction of the gauge field, without loss of generality. Hence we have the homogeneous gauge field of the form $A_\mu = (0, A(t), 0, 0)$. We take the scalar field to be homogeneous of the form $\phi(t)$ too. Tacking variation of action (2) with respect to the dynamical variable leads to the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(R,\phi)g_{\mu\nu} - \left[R_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\bigtriangledown_\lambda\bigtriangledown^\lambda - \bigtriangledown_\mu\bigtriangledown_\nu\right]\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}(R,\phi)}{\partial R} - g_{\mu\nu}U(\phi) + \\ \omega(\phi)\left[\bigtriangledown_\mu\phi\bigtriangledown_\nu\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\bigtriangledown_\lambda\phi\bigtriangledown^\lambda\phi\right] + \frac{1}{2}f^2(\phi)\frac{\partial(F_{\lambda\rho}F^{\lambda\rho})}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}f^2F_{\lambda\rho}F^{\lambda\rho} = 0\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\bigtriangledown_\lambda \bigtriangledown^\lambda \phi + \frac{1}{2\omega(\phi)} \Big(\omega'(\phi) \bigtriangledown_\lambda \phi \bigtriangledown^\lambda \phi - 2U'(\phi) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(R,\phi)}{\partial \phi} + f(\phi) f'(\phi) F_{\lambda\rho} F^{\lambda\rho} \Big) = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\bigtriangledown_\mu \left(f^2(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0 \tag{6}$$

where $\bigtriangledown_\mu$ represents a covariant derivative with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and a prime denotes a derivative with respect to ϕ. using the metric (3), equation of motion of the gauge field (6) can be easily solved as

$$\dot{A}_x = \frac{p_A}{f^2(\phi) e^{\alpha+4\sigma}}, \tag{7}$$

where an overdot denotes the derivative with respect to the cosmic time t and p_A denotes constant of integration. From equations (4), (5) and using equation (3), we obtain constraint, evolution and inflaton field equations in the BI space as

$$(3\dot{\alpha}^2 - 3\dot{\sigma}^2)Q + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} - RQ) + 3\dot{\alpha}\dot{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\omega\dot{\phi}^2 - U - \frac{1}{2} f^2 \dot{A}_x^2 e^{-2\alpha+4\sigma} = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$(\ddot{\alpha} + 3\dot{\alpha}^2)Q + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} - RQ) + \frac{5}{2}\dot{\alpha}\dot{Q} + \ddot{Q} - U - \frac{1}{6} f^2 \dot{A}_x^2 e^{-2\alpha+4\sigma} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$(\ddot{\sigma} + 3\dot{\alpha}\dot{\sigma})Q + \dot{\sigma}\dot{Q} - \frac{1}{3} f^2 \dot{A}_x^2 e^{-2\alpha+4\sigma} = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\dot{\alpha}\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{2\omega}\left(\omega'\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi} + 2U' - 2f^3 f' \dot{A}_x^2 e^{-2\alpha+4\sigma} \right) = 0, \tag{11}$$

where Q is defined as $Q \equiv \partial\mathcal{F}/\partial R$ and the Hubble parameter can be expressed as $H \equiv \dot{\alpha}$. Eqs. (7)-(11) are the most general equations for the anisotropic scalar tensor inflationary model. When the abelian gauge field goes to zero, i.e. $f \to 0$, and $\sigma \to 0$ (i.e. spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe), the model is reduced to that of the [48], [47]. Moreover, in the limit $\mathcal{F}(R,\phi) = R$ and $\omega(\phi) = 1$ it is reduced to [35].

The slow-roll parameters which has been introduced for this model are [47], [49]

$$\varepsilon_1 \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad \varepsilon_2 \equiv -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}}, \quad \varepsilon_3 \equiv -\frac{\dot{Q}}{2HQ}, \quad \varepsilon_4 \equiv -\frac{\dot{E}}{2HE}, \tag{12}$$

where the parameter E is defined as

$$E \equiv Q\left[\omega(\phi) + \frac{3\dot{Q}^2}{2\dot{\phi}Q} \right]. \tag{13}$$

III. ANISOTROPIC INFLATION IN BRANS-DICKE GRAVITY

So far we have discussed the anisotropic scalar tensor inflationary model. In this section, we consider anisotropic BD inflation as a special case of the anisotropic scalar tensor inflationary model. The action in the so-called Jordan frame is

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}\phi R-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi-U(\phi)-\frac{1}{4}f^2(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\right], \tag{14}$$

where this action is obtained from the action (2) by considering

$$\mathcal{F}(R,\phi)=\phi R,\quad \omega(\phi)=\frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi}, \tag{15}$$

where ω_{BD} is the BD parameter which is a constant, and hereafter we drop out its subscript, "BD" and write it as ω. Using Eqs. (7)-(11) for the action (14), constraint, evolution and inflaton field equations are obtained in the BI universe, as

$$(\dot{\alpha}+\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi})^2-\dot{\sigma}^2-\frac{1}{3\phi}\left((\frac{2\omega+3}{4})\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi}+U+\frac{1}{2}f^{-2}p_A^2e^{-4\alpha-4\sigma}\right)=0, \tag{16}$$

$$\left(\ddot{\alpha}+3\dot{\alpha}^2\right)+\frac{1}{\phi}\left(\frac{5}{2}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\phi}+\ddot{\phi}-U-\frac{1}{6}f^{-2}p_A^2e^{-4\alpha-4\sigma}\right)=0, \tag{17}$$

$$(\ddot{\sigma}+3\dot{\alpha}\dot{\sigma})+\frac{1}{3\phi}\left(3\dot{\sigma}\dot{\phi}-f^{-2}p_A^2e^{-4\alpha-4\sigma}\right)=0, \tag{18}$$

$$\ddot{\phi}+3\dot{\alpha}\dot{\phi}+\frac{1}{2\omega}\left(-\omega\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^2-R+2U'-2f^{-3}f'p_A^2e^{-4\alpha-4\sigma}\right)=0. \tag{19}$$

Where the last equation can be written in the form

$$\ddot{\phi}+3\dot{\alpha}\dot{\phi}+\frac{2}{2\omega+3}\left(\phi U'-2U+3f^{-2}p_A^2e^{-4\alpha-4\sigma}-\phi f^{-3}f'p_A^2e^{-4\alpha-4\sigma}\right)=0. \tag{20}$$

Using equations (9), (16) and (20), the equation for acceleration of the universe is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\alpha}+\dot{\alpha}^2=&\left(\frac{9-2\omega}{6}\right)\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi}\right)^2+\frac{5}{2}\frac{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\phi}}{\phi}-9\dot{\sigma}^2+\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{2\omega+3}\right)\frac{U}{\phi}+\\&\left(\frac{6}{2\omega+3}-\frac{1}{6}\right)2\frac{\rho_A}{\phi}+\frac{2}{2\omega+3}U'-\frac{2}{2\omega+3}f^{-1}f'2\rho_A.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The necessary condition for inflation is $\ddot{a}/a=(e^\alpha)\ddot{}/(e^\alpha)\geq 0$, and it will be satisfied if the U term overcomes shear $\Sigma=\dot{\sigma}$, energy density of the gauge field $\rho_A=f^{-2}p_A^2e^{-4\alpha-4\sigma}/2$ and kinetic energy of the inflaton $\dot{\phi}^2/2$. From equation (18), it is clear that anisotropy will

grow only when the last term i.e. ρ_A is a dominant term. Therefore if we consider $\sigma \ll \alpha$, anisotropy starts to grow at least for $f = e^{-2\alpha}$. A generalized form for $f(\phi)$ is

$$f(\phi) = e^{-2c\alpha}, \tag{22}$$

where $c > 1$ is a constant. Then, the energy density of the gauge filed, ignoring σ, is $\rho_A = p_A^2 e^{4(c-1)\alpha}/2$. Considering the slow roll conditions $|\dot{\phi}| \ll |H\phi|$ and $|\ddot{\phi}| \ll |3H\dot{\phi}|$, and additionally $\sigma \ll \alpha$, $\dot{\sigma} \ll \dot{\alpha}$ hold, so (16) and (19) reduce to

$$3\phi\dot{\alpha}^2 \simeq U(\phi), \tag{23}$$

$$3\dot{\phi}\dot{\alpha} \simeq \frac{2}{2\omega+3}\left[2U(\phi) - \phi U'(\phi)\right]. \tag{24}$$

Substituting above equation into Eq. (12), one arrives at the following expression for slow-roll parameters

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{(U-\phi U')(2U-\phi U')}{(2\omega+3)U^2}, \tag{25}$$

$$\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \frac{2\phi(U'-\phi U'')}{(2\omega+3)U}, \tag{26}$$

$$\varepsilon_3 = \frac{2U-\phi U'}{(2\omega+3)U} = \frac{U\varepsilon_1}{(U-\phi U')}, \tag{27}$$

Number e-fold which measure the amount of inflation is given by

$$N = \alpha \equiv \int_t^{t_{end}} H dt = \frac{2\omega+3}{2}\int \frac{U}{\phi(2U-\phi U')} d\phi. \tag{28}$$

From(22) the coupling function $f(\phi)$ is

$$f(\phi) = e^{-c(2\omega+3)\int \frac{U}{\phi(2U-\phi U')}d\phi}. \tag{29}$$

We are interested in the configuration where inflation take place with small anisotropies such that $\Sigma/H \ll 1$ but not zero. From equation (18) in the slow-roll approximation we have

$$\frac{\Sigma}{H} \simeq \left(\frac{3(2\omega+3)p_A^2}{(2\omega+3)3U + 2(2U-\phi U')}\right) g(\alpha)^{-1} \tag{30}$$

where $g(\alpha)$ is

$$g(\alpha) = f^2 e^{4\alpha+4\sigma}. \tag{31}$$

It can be obtained from (19) in the slow-roll approximation as

$$g(\alpha) = g(\alpha_0)e^{-4(c-1)(\alpha-\alpha_0)} + \frac{\Omega(\phi)}{4(c-1)}, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\Omega(\phi) = 4cp_A^2\left(\frac{(3(2U-\phi U')+c(2\omega+3))U}{(2U-\phi U')^2}\right). \tag{33}$$

In the limit of $\alpha \to -\infty$ the first term of equation (32) dominated and $g(\alpha)$ diverges to infinity. Consequently anisotropy goes to zero, i.e. $\Sigma/H \to 0$. On the other hand, in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$, second term is dominated, and $g(\alpha) \to \Omega/4(c-1)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Sigma}{H} &\to \left(\frac{3(2\omega+3)p_A^2}{(2\omega+3)3U+2(2U-\phi U')}\right)\frac{4(c-1)}{\Omega} \\ &= \frac{3(c-1)}{c}\frac{(2\omega+3)\varepsilon_3^2}{(2\varepsilon_3+3)(3\varepsilon_3-c)}.\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It is clear that there is a "phase transition" when the anisotropy Σ/H has a transient from zero to the above equation. If ε_3 gose to zero, then $\Sigma/H \to 0$.

IV. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF ANISOTROPIC INFLATION IN BRANS-DICKE GRAVITY

In order to make the analysis more precise, we consider displaced quadratic inflationary potential as follows

$$U(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2(\phi-\phi_0)^2, \tag{35}$$

where $m = 10^{-5}$ and ϕ_0 is a shift in the potential. This potential is a generalized version of the Starobinsky R^2 inflation in the Einstein frame. Consistency of this potential with the Planck 2015 data in BD gravity has been investigated by [48] using Jordan frame and with Planck 2013 data by [50] in the Einstein fram. The slow-roll parameters for this potential will become

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\phi+\phi_0)\phi_0}{2\omega+3}, \tag{36}$$

$$\varepsilon_2 = \frac{(\phi^2-\phi_0^2)\phi_0 - 4\phi\phi_0}{2(2\omega+3)(\phi-\phi_0)^2}, \tag{37}$$

$$\varepsilon_3 = -\frac{(\phi-\phi_0)\phi_0}{2\omega+3}. \tag{38}$$

Therefore from equation (38), as $\phi \to \phi_0$, the parameter ε_3 approaches zero and from (34) $\Sigma/H \to 0$.



FIG. 1: Phase flow for ϕ is depicted, $c = 4$, $\omega = 1$ to 4.9, initial conditions $\alpha_i = \sigma_i = \dot{\sigma}_i = \dot{\phi}_i = 0$ and $\phi_0 = 12$ is considered. There is two slow-roll phases.

A. Phase plane

Solving eqs. (16)-(19) numerically for the potential (35) with different value of ω and $\phi_0 = 12$, phase-plane in $\dot{\phi} - \phi$ is obtained (Fig. 1). Obviously, there are two slow-roll inflationary phases and the anisotropy grows in this model. It is clear that, as we increase ω the phase of anisotropic inflation starts later near the end of inflation. Moreover, by decreasing ω anisotropic inflation starts sooner and it lasts more time until the end of inflation. The same plot for $\phi_0 = 1$ has been shown in Fig. 2. Clearly, by increasing ω, we have to decrease ϕ_i to have attractor solution. From equation (34), if $\phi_i \to \phi_0$ (i.e. $\varepsilon_3 \to 0$), then anisotropy, Σ/H goes to zero. This fact is consistent with Fig. 2.

B. Anisotropy

Evolution of the anisotropy parameter Σ/H with respect to the e-folding number N, for $\omega = 0$ to 7, $c = 3$ and $\phi_0 = 12$ is presented in Fig. 3. Obviously, by increasing ω we have to increase ϕ_i in order to have attractor solution. Thus, anisotropy is shifted out of the 60 e-folds number. If we assume that duration of inflation exceeds 60 e-fold, the model has attractor solution there, and anisotropy has time to exit the horizon. It should be noticed that the value of BD parameter ω is small and it is not compatible with local gravity tests



FIG. 2: The same as Fig. 1, but for $\phi_0 = 1$, constant value of $c = 3$ and different values of ω.



FIG. 3: Evolution of the anisotropy parameter Σ/H with respect to the e-folding number N, for different values of ω and $c = 3$. Other initial values are the same as Fig. 1.

in the solar system (i.e. $\omega > 40000$). Of course, during the slow roll inflation, kinetic energy of the inflaton is smaller than the potential energy. It seems that ω is small during this era and, the BD scalar field decays after inflation. Thus, the subsequent cosmology coincides with Einstein's general relativity and todays bond is invalid during inflation.



FIG. 4: The same as Fig. 3, but for a constant value of $\omega = 4$ and variable value of c. The red line is Anisotropy in the Einstein gravity for the same potential.



FIG. 5: The same as Fig. 3 but for a constant value of $\omega = 0$ and different values of c.

The case $c = 2$ and $\omega = 4$ is shown in Fig. 4. It shows that there is just one anisotropic phase and anisotropy decreases to the order $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$, which is closer to the bound found by [8] (they found a bound for anisotropy of order $\Sigma/H < 10^{-9}$). In this case increasing ϕ_i causes anisotropy reduction. Moreover, increasing c enhance the anisotropy. Anisotropy in the Einstein gravity for the same potential is plotted in red for comparison. Clearly, the



FIG. 6: The same as Fig. 3, but for $\phi_0 = 1$, constant value of $c = 3$ and different values of ω. Anisotropy in the Einstein gravity for the same potential and the same value for c and ϕ_0 is plotted in red.

anisotropy in the BD gravity is smaller than the Einstein gravity.

The case $\omega = 0$ is considered in Fig. 5. For this case the kinetic term in Eq. (4) vanishes. This is a way to recover $f(R)$ gravity (which can be regarded as a special case of BD theory with $\omega_{BD} = 0$)[51]. Hence the potential (35) changes into the potential corresponding to starobinsky R^2 inflation in the Einstein frame. Therefore the potential (35) in the BD gravity is a generalized version of starobinsky R^2 inflation.

In Fig. 6 we choose $\phi_0 = 1$. Therefore anisotropy starts from a nonzero value. In this case, increasing c for a constant value of ω leads to elevation of the anisotropy and shifts the anisotropy to smaller e-folds. Thus, the area in which Σ/H is constant decreases, until this area disappears.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, we studied anisotropic inflation in the Brans-Dicke gravity where the quadrupole power asymmetry is modeled by the kinetic part of an abelian gauge field coupled to the inflaton field. We obtained a relation for the anisotropy Σ/H which is proportional to the slow-roll parameter, namely ε_3. As an example we carried out the numerical calculation

for the displaced quadratic potential and we saw that there is a phase transition in this model, anisotropy grows and it can exit the horizon. Also, anisotropy depends on the value of ω. As we increase ω, depending on ϕ_0 in the inflation potential, attractor solution may occur in larger ϕ_i or in smaller values. For the case that, attractor solution occurs in smaller ϕ_i, anisotropy is shifted to smaller e-folds until it is disappeared. This is because ϕ_i converges to ϕ_0, and consequently ε_3 goes to zero. Thus, Σ/H is disappeared for larger values of ω. In the other case, by increasing ω, we should increase ϕ_i to have attractor solution. Increasing ϕ_i shifts the anisotropy to larger e-fold until it leaves 60 e-fold. We also considered the case $\omega = 0$, where the displaced quadratic inflationary potential is changed to the potential corresponding to starobinsky R^2 inflation in the Einstein frame. Moreover, increasing c doesn't have a significant effect on the anisotropy. As a special case, $c = 2$ was considered. In this case, anisotropy is decreased significantly depending on ω. For $\omega = 4$, anisotropy is decreased to the order $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$.

Acknowledgments

M. T. would like to thank Abolhassan Mohammadi for his help.

---

[1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981).

[2] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **108**, 389 (1982).

[3] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **117**, 175 (1982).

[4] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982).

[5] A. D. Linde, *Chaotic inflation*, Phys. Lett. B **129**, 177 (1983).

[6] A. Aghamohammadi, A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, Kh. Saaidi, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 084028 (2014)[arXiv:1502.07578v1 [gr-qc]].

[7] T. Golanbari, A. Mohammadi, Kh. Saaidi, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 103529(2014) [arXiv:1405.6359v1 [astro-ph.CO]].

[8] E. Komatsu, N. Afshordi *et al.*, [arXiv:0902.4759v4 [astro-ph.CO]].

[9] J. M. Maldacena, JHEP **0305**, 013 (2003) [astro-ph/0210603].

[10] P. Creminelli, M. Zaldarriaga, JCAP **0410**, 006 (2004).

[11] N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese, A. Riotto, Phys Rept. **402**, 103 (2004).

[12] J. Soda, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 083001 (2012) [arXiv:1201.6434 [hep-th]].

[13] Y. Akrami, Y. Fantaye, A. Shafieloo, H. K. Eriksen, F. K. Hansen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, Astrophys.J. **784**, L42 (2014) [arXiv:1402.0870].

[14] P. A. R. Ade *et al.*(Planck Collaboration), *Planck 2013 results. XXIII. Isotropy and statistics of the CMB*, Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A23 (2014) [arXiv:1303.5083v3 [astro-ph.CO]].

[15] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), *Planck 2013 results. XXIV. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity* , Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A24 (2014)[arXiv:1303.5084].

[16] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), *Planck 2013 results. XXII. Constraints on inflation* , Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A22 (2014) [arXiv:1303.5082].

[17] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), *Planck 2015 results - XVI. Isotropy and statistics of the CMB* , Astron. Astrophys. **594**, A16 (2016), [arXiv:1506.07135 [astro-ph.CO]].

[18] C. L. Bennett et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 17 (2011) [arXiv:1001.4758 [astro-ph.CO]].

[19] Dominik J. Schwarz, Craig J. Copi, Dragan Huterer, Glenn D. Starkman, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **33**, (2016) [arXiv:1510.07929v1[astro-ph.CO]].

[20] Eriksen, H. K., Hansen, F. K., Banday, A. J., Gorski, K. M., Lilje, P. B. (2004), ApJ, **605**, 14.

[21] H. K. Eriksen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, F. K. Hansen, P. B. Lilje, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, **660**, L81 (2007).

[22] D. Hanson, A. Lewis, Phys. Rev. D, **80**, 063004 (2009).

[23] A. Berera, R. V. Buniy and T. W. Kephart, JCAP **0410**, 016 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0311233].

[24] E. P. Donoghue and J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 043002 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0411237].

[25] C. Gordon, W. Hu, D. Huterer and T. Crawford, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 103002 (2005) [arXiv:0509301[astro-ph]].

[26] C. Armendariz Picon, JCAP **0603**, 002 (2006) [arXiv:0509893[astro-ph]].

[27] R. V. Buniy, A. Berera and T. W. Kephart, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 063529 (2006) [arXiv:0511115[hep-th]].

[28] J. G. Cresswell, A. R. Liddle, P. Mukherjee, A. Riazuelo, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 041302 (2006) [arXiv:0512017[astro-ph]].

[29] R. A. Battye and A. Moss, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 041301 (2006) [arXiv:0602377[astro-ph]].

[30] L. Campanelli, P. Cea and L. Tedesco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 131302 (2006) [Erratum-ibid. 97,

209903 (2006) [arXiv:0606266[astro-ph]].

[31] S. Jazayeri, Y. Akrami, H. Firouzjahi, A. R. Solomon and Y. Wang, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **11**, 044 (2014).

[32] L. Ackerman, S. M. Carroll, M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D, **75**, 083502 (2007).

[33] N. E. Groeneboom, H. K. Eriksen, Astrophys. J. **690** 1807-1819 (2009) [arXiv:0807.2242].

[34] J. Kim, E. Komatsu, Phys. Rev. D **88** 101301 (2013) [arXiv:1310.1605].

[35] M. A. Watanabe, S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 191302 (2009) [arXiv:0902.2833[hep-th]].

[36] R. Emami, H. Firouzjahi, S. M. Sadegh Movahed, M. Zarei, JCAP **1102** 005, (2011) [arXiv:1010.5495 [astro-ph.CO]]

[37] T. Q. Do and W. F. Kao, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 123009 (2011)

[38] S. Kanno, J. Soda, M. Watanabe, JCAP **1012** 024 (2010) [arXiv:1010.5307v3 [hep-th]].

[39] J. Ohashi, J. Soda, Sh. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 103517 (2013),[arXiv:1310.3053v2 [hep-th]].

[40] A. Ito, J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 123533 (2015), [arXiv:1506.02450v2].

[41] A. Maleknejad, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, J. Soda, Phys. Reports **528**, 161-261 (2013), [arXiv:1212.2921v3 [hep-th]].

[42] S. lahiri, *Anisotropic inflation in Gauss-Bonnet gravity*, JCAP **09** 025, (2016), [arXiv:1605.09247v2 [hep-th]].

[43] A. Naruko, E.Komatsu, M. Yamaguchia, JCAP, **1504**, 045 (2015) arXiv:1411.5489v1

[44] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and cosmology*, John Wiley and Sons (1972) .

[45] V. Faraoni, *Cosmology in Scalar Tensor Gravity*, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht (2004).

[46] Y. Fujii and K. Maeda, *The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation*, Cambridge University Press, UK (2004).

[47] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Relativ. **13**, 156 (2010).

[48] B. Tahmasebzadeh, K. Rezazadeh and K. Karami, JCAP **07**, 006 (2016) [arXiv:1605.00530v2].

[49] J. Hwang, Phys. Lett. B **506**13 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0102423v1].

[50] S. Tsujikawa, J. Ohashi, S. Kuroyanagi, A. De Felice, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 023529 (2013).

[51] T. Chiba, Phys. Lett. B **575** (2003) 1, arXiv:astro-ph/0307338